Case 1:23-cv-00460-JLT-EPG Document 14 Filed 04/10/23 Page 1 of 4

| 1 2 3 4 5 | GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP ERIC V. ROWEN (SBN 106234) rowene@gtlaw.com JONATHAN S. GOLDSTEIN (SBN 318990) jonathan.goldstein@gtlaw.com 1840 Century Park East, Suite 1900 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: 310.586.7700 Facsimile: 310.586.7800 | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 6 7 8 9 110 111 12 | DURAN & CEDILLO Manuel Duran (SBN 189102) manuel@duran-cedillo.com 4532 Cesar E. Chavez Ave. Los Angeles, California 90022 Tel: (323) 881-1811 Fax: (323) 881-9580 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Carson Hybrid Energy St and CMD Carson LLC. | orage LLC | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 14 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | CARSON HYBRID ENERGY STORAGE, LLC, a California limited liability company; CMD Carson LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Plaintiffs, v. TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants. | Case No. 1:23-cv-00460-JLT-EPG Hon. Erica P. Grosjean STIPULATION TO EXTEND STATE COURT TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 65(b)(2) Date Filed: March 9, 2023 Date Removed: March 27, 2023 | |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$ | | | |
| - | | | |
| 27 | | | |

28

Pursuant to Rule 65(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs Carson Hybrid Energy Storage, LLC and CMD Carson LLC ("Plaintiffs"), and Defendant Turlock Irrigation District ("Defendant"), by and through respectfully counsel of record, requests that the Court grant the parties' stipulation to extend the temporary restraining order entered by the Superior Court of California, Stanislaus County, on March 16, 2023 until June 9, 2023.

WHEREAS, on March 9, 2023, Plaintiffs filed a complaint for breach of contract seeking specific performance and injunctive relief, an ex parte application for a temporary restraining order and order to show cause re: a preliminary injunction in the Superior Court of Stanislaus County, California (the "Superior Court");

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs served Defendant and its counsel with a copy of the complaint for breach of contract seeking specific performance and injunctive relief, an ex parte application for a temporary restraining order and order to show cause re: a preliminary injunction;

WHEREAS, on March 16, 2023, the Superior Court held a hearing on Plaintiffs' ex parte application for a temporary restraining order, at which Plaintiffs' counsel and Defendant's counsel appeared and presented argument;

WHEREAS, on March 16, 2023, and following the hearing, the Superior Court granted Plaintiffs' request and issued a temporary restraining order, effective until May 12, 2023, enjoining Defendant from "enforcing its 30 day deadline set in its February 17, 2023 letter to Carson Hybrid Energy Storage, LLC and from withdrawing Plaintiffs' interconnection request";

WHEREAS, the Superior Court did not require Plaintiffs to post a bond;

WHEREAS, the Superior Court scheduled a hearing on Plaintiff's request for a preliminary injunction for May 12, 2023, ordered Defendant to file and serve any opposition papers by April 21, 2023 and ordered Plaintiffs to file any reply by February 28, 2023;

WHEREAS, on March 17, 2023, Plaintiffs served Defendant with the temporary restraining order and order to show case re a preliminary injunction;

WHEREAS, on March 27, 2023, Defendant removed the above captioned case to this Court;

Case 1:23-cv-00460-JLT-EPG Document 14 Filed 04/10/23 Page 3 of 4

| 1 | WHEREAS, under Granny Goose Foods v. Bhd. of Teamsters & Auto Truck Drivers, 415 U.S. | | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | 423, 439-40 (1974), 28 U.S.C. § 1450, and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b)(2), the Superior | | |
| 3 | Court's temporary restraining order remains in force for 14 days after removal, or until April 10, 2023; | | |
| 4 | WHEREAS, Plaintiffs intend to file a motion to remand but do not anticipate that Plaintiffs can | | |
| 5 | do so or that the Court can hear or resolve the motion to remand before the Superior Court's temporary | | |
| 6 | restraining order expires on April 10, 2023; | | |
| 7 | WHEREAS, to preserve scare judicial resources and avoid burdening the Court with a motion to | | |
| 8 | remand and a contemporaneous motion for preliminary injunction; | | |
| 9 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between Plaintiffs and Defendant, subject to the Court's | | |
| 10 | approval, that: | | |
| 11 | The Court shall enter the Order attached below to extend the temporary restraining order | | |
| 12 | issued by the Superior Court to June 9, 2023; | | |
| 13 | 2. Plaintiffs shall file a motion to remand and notice a hearing as soon as practicable on or | | |
| 14 | before June 9, 2023. | | |
| 15 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | DATED: April 7, 2023 | GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | By Eric V. Rowen | |
| 21 | | Attorneys for Plaintiffs Carson Hybrid Energy Storage LLC and CMD Carson LLC. | |
| 22 | DATED: April 7, 2023 | DURAN & CEDILLO | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | Ву | |
| 25 | | Manuel Duran Attorneys for Plaintiffs Carson Hybrid Energy Storage LLC | |
| 26 | | and CMD Carson LLC. | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

ACTIVE 686440971v3

STIPULATION TO EXTEND STATE COURT TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER Case No. 1:23-cv-00460-JLT-EPG

27

28

DATED: April 7, 2023 **DUNCAN & ALLEN, LLP**

By _

Jon R. Stickman DUNCAN & ALLEN LLP 1730 Rhode Island Ave., NW, Suite 700 Washington, D.C., 20036

Attorney for Defendant Turlock Irrigation District

ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- Defendant Turlock Irrigation District, and its agents, servants, employees, representatives, and anyone acting on their behalf or at their direction and control are hereby restrained and enjoined until June 9, 2023 from enforcing its 30-day deadline set in its February 17, 2023 letter to Carson Hybrid Energy Storage, LLC and from withdrawing Plaintiff's interconnection request.
- 2. Notwithstanding the above, the Parties are informed that the undersigned will be unavailable on official travel from June 9 through June 16, 2023. In the first instance, the Court will defer to the Parties to determine whether they wish to advance their proposed hearing and briefing schedule or submit a supplemental stipulation that will permit the hearing to be noticed for after June 16.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **April 10, 2023**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

4